1 Die, these are the suppliers that I had to stop work 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

on.

I was the first one in the industry ever to put a stop work against GE because 54 design analyses failed all the Code requirements of 10 CFR [Part] 50, Appendix B, which is quality assurance criteria for nuclear power plants. And [ASME Code, Subsection] NC-N45211 design control process and ASME [Nuclear Quality Assurance] NQA-1 [Standard], 1981, endorsed by NRC to Reg Guide 1.28, to Reg Guide 1.64, to Req Guide 1.152, and etc.

Design control process at GE was one hundred percent complete failure. GE was the claimer It means three deficiencies, one of the 6-Sigma. million products. 54 design analyses, all 54, failed for multiple reasons. My design report was only focusing on the design section, one criteria out of eighteen, came out with 179 pages. About 50 pages, almost with a font size 8, deficiencies; 21 failed in the design control process. It means I questioned the structural integrity of all the reactor components, boiling water reactors, controlled by GE and lack of control and review by Exelon Corporation or ComEd at that time.

Once I came back, the reason I am relating it to the plant life extension, we already have a problem. I already have operability concern with the