

1 a significant change and significant mistake in
2 deficiency in the analysis, what kind of assurance
3 you, Exelon or ComEd, providing us that you are in the
4 control of your suppliers?

5 So part of that 50.54(f) letter, I was
6 assigned because of being the technical background for
7 a few years in engineering and seven years in a QA.
8 They put me in a series of technical audits. In that
9 audit, I cited Sargent & Lundy and many other
10 suppliers and the major issues I found with the
11 General Electric Nuclear Energy which I called GENE at
12 San Jose, California. Me and the five technical
13 experts that I took from the industry, they were
14 expert in the thermal analysis, the structural
15 analysis, piping analysis and I was a structural
16 analysis expert and I have a master's degree and I
17 have been teaching design codes around the country.

18 Next week, June 20th, I will be teaching
19 design control assessment as a tutorial in [American
20 Society of Mechanical Engineers] ASME pressure vessel
21 piping and here is the material that I'm preparing to
22 teach the future engineers around the world, the
23 findings and the Codes. Not only am I providing the
24 Code requirements, the sections which I am giving the
25 teaching of the examples that I found, without
26 mentioning ComEd, without mentioning GE, without
27 mentioning Holtech, without mentioning U.S. Tool and